

## HOLOMORPHIC EXTENSION OF EIGENFUNCTIONS

BERNHARD KRÖTZ AND HENRIK SCHLICHTKRULL

ABSTRACT. Let  $X = G/K$  be a Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type. We prove a theorem of holomorphic extension for eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $X$ , by techniques from the theory of partial differential equations.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type. Then  $X = G/K$ , where  $G$  is a connected semisimple Lie group and  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup. We choose the group  $G$  such that it is contained in a complexification  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and we denote by  $K_{\mathbb{C}} \subset G_{\mathbb{C}}$  the complexification of  $K$ . The symmetric space  $X_{\mathbb{C}} = G_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}}$  carries a natural complex structure, and it contains  $X$  as a totally real submanifold.

We are interested in eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  on  $X$ . Since this operator is elliptic and  $G$ -invariant, every eigenfunction admits a holomorphic extension to some open  $G$ -invariant neighborhood of  $X$  in  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The  $G$ -orbits in  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  are generally difficult to parametrize, but let us recall that a particular  $G$ -invariant open neighborhood  $\Xi$  of  $X$ , for which the orbit structure is compellingly simple, has been proposed in [1]. It is commonly called the *complex crown* of  $X$ , and it has been thoroughly investigated in recent years. See for example [?, ?, 3, 4, 10, 11, 12]. In the present paper we show that every eigenfunction for  $\Delta$  extends holomorphically to  $\Xi$ .

Our result generalizes a result from [11] that every joint eigenfunction for the full set of invariant differential operators on  $X$  extends holomorphically to  $\Xi$ . The proof given in [11] invokes the Helgason conjecture, affirmed in [9] by micro-local analysis. Our proof is considerably simpler. The crucial step is an application of a theorem from the theory of analytic partial differential equations. This theorem asserts the existence of a holomorphic extension to solutions which are holomorphic on one side of a non-characteristic surface.

At the end of the paper a further generalization is given to functions on  $G$ , which are eigenfunctions for the Casimir operator and right- $K$ -finite.

## 2. Notation

We denote by  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$  and its Cartan decomposition. We choose a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$  and denote by  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$  the corresponding system of restricted roots. The root spaces in  $\mathfrak{g}$  are denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , and by  $\Sigma^+ \subset \Sigma$  we denote a positive system. The centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $K$  is  $M = Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$ , and the Weyl group is  $W = N_K(\mathfrak{a})/M$ , where  $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$  is the normalizer.

Recall the definition of the complex crown  $\Xi$  of  $X$ . We set

$$\Omega := \{Y \in \mathfrak{a} \mid |\alpha(Y)| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \forall \alpha \in \Sigma\}.$$

Then

$$\Xi := G \exp(i\Omega) K_{\mathbb{C}} = \{g \exp(iY) \cdot x_0 \mid g \in G, Y \in \Omega\} \subset X_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Here  $x_0$  denotes the standard base point  $eK_{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

### 3. Results

**Lemma 3.1.** *The  $G$ -invariant crown  $\Xi$  is an open subset of  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The surjective map*

$$\Phi : G \times \Omega \rightarrow \Xi, \quad (g, Y) \mapsto g \exp(iY) \cdot x_0$$

*is real analytic, and the topology of  $\Xi$  is identical to the quotient topology with respect to this map.*

*Let  $\Omega^+$  be the intersection of  $\Omega$  with the positive open chamber in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and let  $\Xi' = \Phi(G \times \Omega^+)$ . Then  $\Xi'$  is open and dense in  $\Xi$ , and*

$$\Phi' : G/M \times \Omega^+ \rightarrow \Xi', \quad (gM, Y) \mapsto \Phi(g, Y)$$

*is a diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Apart from the statement about the topology of  $\Xi$ , this can be found in [11], §4. For the topological statement we need to prove that a subset of  $\Xi$  is open if its preimage is open. It suffices to prove the following. Let  $z_n \rightarrow z \in \Xi$  be a converging sequence. Then there exists a subsequence of the form  $z_j = \Phi(g_j, Y_j)$  with converging sequences  $g_j \rightarrow g \in G$  and  $Y_j \rightarrow Y \in \Omega$ . It follows from [1], Propositions 1 and 7, that there exist sequences  $g_n$  in  $G$ ,  $k_n$  in  $K$  and  $Y_n$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $z_n = \Phi(g_n k_n, Y_n)$ , and such that  $g_n$  and  $k_n \exp(iY_n) \cdot x_0$  both converge. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $k_j$  converges, and since  $Y \mapsto \exp(iY) \cdot x_0$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\Omega$  onto its image, it then follows that  $Y_j$  converges in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in C^\infty(X)$  be an eigenfunction for  $\Delta$ . Then  $f$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\Xi$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\Delta$  is elliptic, the regularity theorem for elliptic differential operators (see [6], Theorem 7.5.1) implies that  $f$  is an analytic function. As such it has an extension to a holomorphic function on some open neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $x_0$  in  $\Xi$ . It follows from the proof in [6], that  $U_0$  can be chosen independently of  $f$ , that is, *every* eigenfunction can be holomorphically extended to  $U_0$  (the radius of convergence obtained in the proof depends only on the corresponding radii for the coefficients of the differential operator). In particular, it follows from the fact that  $\Delta$  is  $G$ -invariant, that  $L_g f$  extends to  $U_0$  for all  $g \in G$ . The union  $U$  of the

$G$ -translated sets  $L_{g^{-1}}(U_0)$  is then a  $G$ -invariant open neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\Xi$ , to which  $f$  extends.

We now consider the open dense subset  $\Xi' \subset \Xi$  from Lemma 3.1. The intersection  $U \cap \Xi'$  is non-empty, open and  $G$ -invariant. Let  $Y_0 \in \Omega^+$ , and for  $r > 0$  let  $B_r$  denote the open ball in  $\mathfrak{a}$  of radius  $r$ , centered at  $Y_0$ . If  $B_r \subset \Omega^+$ , then we define an open set

$$T_r = G \exp(iB_r) \cdot x_0 \subset \Xi',$$

which we regard as a  $G$ -invariant ‘circular tube’ in  $\Xi'$ . We claim that if  $f$  extends holomorphically to a set containing some circular tube  $T_r \subset \Xi'$  centered at  $Y_0$ , then it extends to all circular tubes in  $\Xi'$  centered at  $Y_0$ . Since  $Y_0$  was arbitrary, and since  $\Omega^+$  is simply connected, it follows from this claim that  $f$  extends holomorphically from  $U \cap \Xi'$  to  $\Xi'$ .

In order to establish the claim we use Theorem 9.4.7 of [8], due to Zerner [13]. We write  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$  for the extension of  $\Delta$  to a  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant holomorphic differential operator on  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Obviously, the holomorphic extension that we seek will be an eigenfunction for  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\Xi$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that each circular tube  $T_r$ , for which the closure is contained in  $\Xi'$ , has real-analytic boundary  $\partial T_r$ . In order to apply Zerner’s theorem it suffices to establish that  $\partial T_r$  is non-characteristic for  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ , for all such tubes. By  $G$ -invariance, it suffices to consider boundary points  $x \in \partial T_r$  with  $x \in \exp(i\Omega) \cdot x_0$ . Recall from [11], p. 207, that when  $x \in \exp(i\Omega) \cdot x_0$  we have a complex-linear isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \ni Z \mapsto \tilde{Z}_x \in T_x \Xi,$$

where  $\tilde{Z}$  is the holomorphic vector field on  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  given by

$$\tilde{Z}_x \varphi = L_Z \varphi(x) = \frac{d}{dz} \varphi(\exp(-zZ)x)|_{z=0}.$$

In this isomorphism the tangent space at  $x$  of the boundary  $\partial T_r$  will then be a real hyperplane given by an equation  $\operatorname{Re} \zeta(Z) = 0$  for some cotangent vector  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ . Since the tube  $T_r$  is  $G$ -invariant, it follows that  $\operatorname{Re} \zeta$  annihilates  $Z$  for all  $Z \in \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\zeta$  is purely imaginary on  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Let  $(X_j^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+, 1 \leq j \leq m_\alpha}$  together with  $Y_1, \dots, Y_r \in \mathfrak{a}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $X_j^\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}_\alpha := [\mathfrak{g}^\alpha + \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}] \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . Here  $m_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{p}_\alpha$  as usual. In the universal enveloping algebra we have

$$\Delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m_\alpha} (X_j^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r Y_i^2$$

with respect to the right action, where functions on  $G/K$  are regarded as a right  $K$ -invariant function on  $G$ . Observe that modulo  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Ad}(a)^{-1}(X_j^\alpha) = \cosh(\alpha(\log a))X_j^\alpha$$

for  $a \in A$  (see [11] p. 207), and hence

$$R_{X_j^\alpha} f(a) = -[\cosh \alpha(\log a)]^{-1} L_{X_j^\alpha} f(a).$$

It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m_\alpha} [\cosh \alpha(\log a)]^{-2} (X_j^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r Y_i^2$$

at  $z = a \cdot x_0 \in X$ , with respect to the left action.

By analytic continuation, the same equation holds as well for  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $a \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ . In particular, at  $x = \exp(iY) \cdot x_0$  we obtain

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m_\alpha} [\cos \alpha(Y)]^{-2} [(\tilde{X}_j^\alpha)_x]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r [(\tilde{Y}_i)_x]^2.$$

Note that the condition that  $x$  belongs to the crown precisely ensures that  $\cos \alpha(Y) \neq 0$ , so that the expression makes sense. As  $\zeta$  is purely imaginary on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , it follows that all terms in the above sum are  $\leq 0$  when applied to  $\zeta$ . Thus the principal symbol of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$  is non-zero at  $\zeta$ , and the boundary of  $T_r$  is non-characteristic. It follows that Zerner's theorem can be applied, so that  $f$  extends holomorphically to  $\Xi'$ .

For the extension to the full set  $\Xi$  we shall apply Bochner's theorem (see [7], Theorem 2.5.10). From what we have seen so far, for all  $g \in G$  the function

$$f_g : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad Y \mapsto f(g \exp(Y) \cdot x_0)$$

extends to a holomorphic function on a tubular neighborhood  $\mathfrak{a} + i\omega$  of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and also to  $\mathfrak{a} + i\Omega^+$ . For elements  $w \in N_K(\mathfrak{a})$  we have

$$f_g(\text{Ad}(w)Y) = f_{gw}(Y).$$

It follows that  $f_g$  extends to a holomorphic function on each Weyl conjugate of  $\mathfrak{a} + i\Omega^+$ , hence to  $\mathfrak{a} + i\Omega'$ , where  $\Omega' = \cup \text{Ad}(w)(\Omega^+)$  is the set of regular elements in  $\Omega$ . Now Bochner's theorem implies that  $f_g$  extends to a holomorphic function on the tube over the convex hull of  $\omega \cup \Omega'$ , that is, to  $\mathfrak{a} + i\Omega$ . Furthermore,  $g \mapsto f_g$  is continuous into  $H(\mathfrak{a} + i\Omega)$  (with standard topology), since it is continuous into the space  $H(\mathfrak{a} + i(\omega \cup \Omega'))$  which by Bochner's theorem is topologically isomorphic.

Recall that for all  $g, g' \in G$  and  $Y, Y' \in \Omega$  we have

$$g \exp(iY) \cdot x_0 = g' \exp(iY') \cdot x_0$$

if and only if there exists  $w \in N_K(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $k \in Z_K(Y)$  with  $g' = gkw$  and  $Y' = \text{Ad}(w^{-1})Y$ . It follows easily that by

$$g \exp(iY) \cdot x_0 \mapsto f_g(Y)$$

we obtain a well-defined extension of  $f$  on  $\Xi$ . The topological statement in the first part of Lemma 3.1 implies that this extension is continuous. Since the extension is holomorphic on  $\Xi'$ , it must be holomorphic everywhere.  $\square$

We list some easy consequences of the preceding theorem and its proof. From the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = NAK$  associated to the positive system  $\Sigma^+$ , we obtain the familiar horospherical projection  $x \mapsto H(x) \in \mathfrak{a}$ , defined by  $x \in N \exp H(x) \cdot x_0$  for  $x \in X$ . For each  $\lambda \in \alpha_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  the function

$$x \mapsto e^{\lambda(H(x))}$$

on  $X$  is an eigenfunction for  $\Delta$ , hence extends to a holomorphic function on  $\Xi$ . We obtain:

**Corollary 3.3.** *The projection  $H : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  extends to a holomorphic map  $\Xi \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Moreover,  $\Xi \subset N_{\mathbb{C}} A_{\mathbb{C}} K_{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h_{\lambda}(z)$  denote the analytic continuation of  $e^{\lambda(H(x))}$ . Since  $h_{-\lambda}(z) = h_{\lambda}(z)^{-1}$  we conclude that  $h_{\lambda}(z) \neq 0$ . As  $\Xi$  is simply connected, the analytic continuation of  $\lambda(H(x))$  is obtained by taking logarithms, and the first statement follows. For the last statement, we note that once the Iwasawa  $A$ -component allows an analytic continuation, then so does the  $N$ -component. Indeed, knowing the  $A$ -component, we can determine the  $N$ -component of  $x \in X$  from  $\theta(x)x^{-1}$ , where  $\theta$  denotes the Cartan involution.  $\square$

The preceding corollary was obtained for classical groups in [10]. The general case follows from results established in [12], [2] and [4] with [5].

Let  $\omega \subset \Omega$  be open, convex and  $W$ -invariant, and let  $T_{\omega} \subset \Xi$  denote the open set

$$T_{\omega} = G \exp(i\omega) \cdot x_0.$$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$  and let  $P$  be a non-trivial polynomial of one variable. If  $P(\Delta)f$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $T_{\omega}$ , then so does  $f$ .*

*Proof.* By treating the factors of  $P$  successively we may assume that  $P(\Delta) = \Delta - \lambda$ . The proof of Theorem 3.2 can then be repeated.  $\square$

The following generalization is more far-reaching. We denote by  $C \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  the Casimir element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$  be a right  $K$ -finite eigenfunction of  $C$ . Then  $f$  extends to a holomorphic function on*

$$\tilde{\Xi} := G \exp(i\hat{\Omega}) K_{\mathbb{C}} \subset G_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $f$  being  $K$ -finite means that the translates  $R_k f$  by  $k \in K$  span a finite dimensional space, which is then a representation space for  $K$ . We may assume that it is irreducible, and then  $f$  is an eigenfunction for the Casimir element  $C_{\mathfrak{k}}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , acting from the right. The operator  $C + 2C_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is elliptic, so it follows that  $f$  is real analytic. The proof of Theorem 3.2 can now be repeated, with the following changes.

In Lemma 3.1 we replace the map  $\Phi$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi} : G \times \Omega \times K_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\Xi}, \quad (g, Y, k) \mapsto g \exp(iY)k,$$

and we define  $\tilde{\Phi}'$  as before, but now on  $(G \times \Omega^+ \times K_{\mathbb{C}})/M$ , where  $M$  acts on the first and last factor, from the right and left, respectively.

The  $G$ -invariant tubes  $T_r \subset \Xi$  are replaced by their  $G \times K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -invariant preimages  $\tilde{T}_r = T_r K_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \tilde{\Xi}$ . The map  $Z \mapsto L_Z$  from  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  onto  $T_x \Xi$  in (3.1) is replaced by  $Z \oplus U \mapsto L_Z + R_U$  from  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  onto  $T_x \tilde{\Xi}$ . Here  $x \in \exp(i\Omega)$ . The cotangent vector  $\zeta$ , normal to  $\tilde{T}_r$  at  $x$  is zero on  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and purely imaginary on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , by the same argument as before.

Since  $f$  is a  $C_{\mathfrak{k}}$ -eigenfunction, the action of  $C$  on it differs only by a constant from that of the operator  $\Delta$  described in (3.2). Hence  $\partial \tilde{T}_r$  is non-characteristic for  $C$ , and the application of Zerner's theorem goes through. The rest of the argument is essentially unchanged.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Akhiezer, D. and Gindikin, S., *On Stein extensions of real symmetric spaces*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 1–12.
- [2] Burns, D., Halverscheid, S. and R. Hind, *The geometry of Grauert tubes and complexification of symmetric spaces*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. **3**, 465–491.
- [3] Gindikin, S. and Krötz, B. *Invariant Stein domains in Stein symmetric spaces and a nonlinear complex convexity theorem*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2002**, 959–971.
- [4] Huckleberry, A. *On certain domains in cycle spaces of flag manifolds*, Math. Ann. **323** (2002), 797–810.
- [5] ———, Erratum: *On certain domains in cycle spaces of flag manifolds*, to be submitted
- [6] Hörmander, L., *Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Springer Grundlehren 116, 1976.
- [7] ———, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Van Nostrand, 1966.
- [8] ———, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer Grundlehren 256, 1983.
- [9] Kashiwara, M. et al, *Eigenfunctions of invariant differential operators on a symmetric space*, Ann. of Math. **107** (1978), 1–39.

- [10] Krötz, B. and R. Stanton, *Holomorphic extensions of representations. I. Automorphic functions*, Ann. of Math. **(2) 159** (2004), no. **2**, 641–724.
- [11] ———, *Holomorphic extensions of representations. II. Geometry and harmonic analysis*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15** (2005), no. **1**, 190–245.
- [12] Matsuki, T. *Stein extensions of Riemann symmetric spaces and some generalization*, J. Lie Theory **13** (2003), 565–572.
- [13] Zerner, M., *Domaine d'holomorphie des fonctions vérifiant une équation aux dérivées partielles*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **272** (1971), 1646.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, D-53111 BONN,  
EMAIL: KROETZ@MPIM-BONN.MPG.DE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5, DK-2100 KØPENHAVN, EMAIL: SCHLICHT@MATH.KU.DK